

**THE LINGUISTIC ARCHITECTURE OF FEAR IN MEDICAL
EDUCATION: FROM NEUROPHOBIA TO NEUROANXIETY
THROUGH THE LENS OF PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE**

Renato Faria da Gama (UFRJ)

renatofgama@gmail.com

Crisóstomo Lima do Nascimento (UFF)

crisostomoln@gmail.com

Leonardo Maciel Moreira (UFRJ)

lmmoreira@nutes.ufrj.br

Camila Castelo Branco Pupe (UFF)

cpupe@id.uff.br

RESUMO

Este artigo analisa como abordamos as dificuldades dos estudantes de medicina com a neurologia – e por que essa linguagem importa mais do que geralmente reconhecemos. Analiso a mudança de “neurofobia”, o termo abrangente introduzido na década de 1990, para enquadramentos mais sutis como “neuroansiedade” e “evitação neurológica”. Utilizando o conceito de jogos de linguagem de Wittgenstein e o trabalho de Lakoff e Johnson sobre metáforas conceituais, argumento que rotular as dificuldades dos alunos como uma “-fobia” patologiza efetivamente o que podem ser desafios normais de aprendizagem, absolvendo inadvertidamente as instituições da responsabilidade pelo planejamento curricular e pela eficácia pedagógica. Em contrapartida, termos como “neuroansiedade” reformulam o problema como uma resposta previsível a demandas cognitivas avassaladoras – demandas que podem ser atendidas por meio de um ensino melhor. A conclusão é direta: a forma como nomeamos esse fenômeno determina quem é responsável por resolvê-lo e quais soluções consideramos viáveis.

Palavras-chave:

Neurofobia. Semântica. Educação Médica.

5. ABSTRACT

This paper traces how we talk about medical students’ struggles with neurology – and why that language matters more than we typically acknowledge. I examine the shift from “neurophobia”, the catch-all term introduced in the 1990s, toward more nuanced framings like “neuroanxiety” and “neurological avoidance”. Using Wittgenstein’s concept of language games and Lakoff and Johnson’s work on conceptual metaphors, I argue that labeling student difficulties as a “-phobia” effectively pathologizes what might be normal learning challenges, inadvertently absolving institutions of responsibility for curricular design and pedagogical effectiveness. By contrast, terms like “neuroanxiety” reframe the problem as a predictable response to overwhelming cognitive demands – demands that might be addressed through better teaching. The conclusion is straightforward: how we name this phenomenon determines who bears responsibility for solving it and what solutions we consider viable.

Keywords:

Neurophobia. Semantics. Medical Education.

1. Introduction

The language we choose to describe educational phenomena doesn't simply label what happens in classrooms – it actively shapes how we understand those events and who we hold accountable. Consider neurology education. Starting in the 1990s, we began calling students' well-documented aversion to the subject "neurophobia". The term stuck, probably because it sounds clinical and authoritative, lending an air of scientific legitimacy to what is essentially a pedagogical problem. But here's the uncomfortable question: did adopting this label give medical schools an easy escape route? Did it allow institutions to blame student psychology rather than examine their own pedagogical failures and curricular design choices?

I want to examine the words we use when medical students struggle with neurology. To do this, I'm drawing on two key philosophical ideas: Wittgenstein's concept of "language games" and Lakoff and Johnson's theory of conceptual metaphors. This isn't merely semantic nitpicking or academic hair-splitting. The vocabulary we adopt shapes what we perceive as the problem and who we hold responsible for fixing it. It determines which interventions seem reasonable and which seem absurd. It influences how we train future physicians and how we think about the relationship between learners and institutions.

2. The root of the problem: The etymological weight of the prefix "neuro-"

Before examining the suffixes that denote aversion, we need to consider the prefix itself and the conceptual baggage it carries. "Neuro-" comes from the Greek *neura*, which originally meant tendon, cord, or bowstring – not just anatomical nerves in the modern sense. That bowstring metaphor carries significant weight and psychological resonance. A bowstring must be perfectly tuned; pull too hard and it snaps.

This ancient meaning still colors how we conceptualize the nervous system today. We tend to see it as intricate, fragile, and high-stakes – a system that can malfunction catastrophically if handled incorrectly. Lakoff and Johnson demonstrated that metaphors aren't merely decorative linguistic flourishes; they structure how we think and act at fundamental cognitive levels. When we set up neurology as this hypercomplex, tension-filled subject from day one, we're essentially priming students for anxiety before they ever encounter a patient. The prefix itself, then, already carries a burden of complexity and risk that predisposes learners toward apprehension and self-doubt.

3. *Blaming the student: the reign of “neurophobia”*

Jozefowicz introduced “neurophobia” into medical literature in 1994, defining it as a fear of neurology stemming from students' inability to connect basic science to clinical practice. But the suffix “-phobia”, derived from Greek *phobos* (panic, terror), carries significant implications that deserve scrutiny. Using “-phobia” pathologizes a normal reaction to genuine pedagogical challenges. When a student struggles with a poorly organized curriculum, calling it a phobia makes the difficulty sound like an irrational psychiatric condition requiring psychological intervention.

Wittgenstein argued that a word's meaning lies in its use within a specific context. In the “game” of medical education, “neurophobia” serves a strategic function – often unconsciously deployed. By framing difficulty as a phobia, responsibility shifts entirely to the student's supposed psychological deficiency. Archaic teaching methods, fragmented curricula that fail to integrate basic and clinical sciences, and professors who can't explain complex concepts clearly all escape scrutiny. The institution avoids accountability by pathologizing the learner, essentially blaming the victim for institutional failure.

4. *Changing the script: Neuroanxiety and neurological avoidance*

Recently, scholars have grown uncomfortable with “neurophobia” and its implications. Tan and colleagues propose “neuroanxiety” as an alternative that better captures the phenomenon. The root “anxiety” comes from Latin *angere*, meaning to squeeze or cause anguish. This shifts the conceptual frame entirely, moving away from pathology toward adaptation. “Neuroanxiety” doesn't treat fear as baseless terror; it frames it as anticipatory apprehension – a normal, even adaptive response to genuine cognitive overload. John Sweller's work on cognitive load theory supports this reframing.

Students aren't “phobic”; they're overwhelmed by information density, curricular fragmentation, and insufficient scaffolding. Simultaneously, “neurological avoidance” focuses on observable behavior rather than internal psychological states. When a student avoids examining a patient with a neurological condition, that's not a symptom of disorder – it's behavioral feedback indicating that teaching failed to provide adequate psychological safety and cognitive scaffolding.

These alternative terms shift accountability from student psychology to institutional practice and pedagogical design.

Term	Etymological Root	Conceptual Frame	Responsibility Attribution
Neurophobia	Greek phobos (terror)	Irrational, paralyzing fear	Student's psychological pathology
Neuroanxiety	Latin angere (anguish)	Anticipatory response to challenge	Shared: student + environment
Neurological Avoidance	Latin ex-vachans (to set aside)	Observable coping behavior	Pedagogical design failure

5. *Wittgenstein's language games*

Wittgenstein's insight about language games fundamentally reframes this entire debate about terminology and meaning. He argued that words don't have fixed, essential meanings independent of context; meaning emerges from how we use words in specific situations and social practices.

In medical education, the "neurophobia game" has operated according to particular rules and conventions: struggling students receive labels and interventions while institutional structures remain largely unexamined. When we shift to "neuroanxiety", we're not simply swapping synonyms or engaging in semantic wordplay. We're changing the game's fundamental rules.

The school must now look inward at its own practices. Responsibility gets distributed differently across stakeholders. This redistribution of accountability is precisely what the old terminology was designed to prevent. The language game changes the stakes.

6. *Conceptual metaphor and the construction of meaning*

Lakoff and Johnson demonstrated that metaphors structure thought at a fundamental cognitive level. They're not ornamental linguistic flourishes; they shape cognition and determine which solutions seem reasonable. Calling a learning hurdle a "phobia" invokes the entire metaphorical framework of mental illness and psychological disorder.

If the problem is a phobia, solutions look like therapy, psychiatric intervention, or student selection – perhaps identifying students "unsuited" for neurology. Nobody thinks to redesign the syllabus or examine pedagogical methods. But "neuroanxiety" triggers a different conceptual model – one resembling test anxiety or performance stress. We know how to address that: mentorship, chunking complex material

into manageable pieces, gradual exposure to clinical work, and building confidence through success.

The metaphor we choose opens certain possibilities while closing others. It determines which solutions seem reasonable and which seem absurd or irrelevant.

6.

7. *Implications for medical education*

This terminological shift has immediate practical consequences for how medical schools operate and where they invest resources. If students experience “neuroanxiety” rather than “neurophobia”, responsibility moves from student health services to the dean's office and curriculum committees. Institutions must examine where curricula confuse learners, where lectures bore them, where assessments misalign with teaching objectives.

Additionally, recognizing “neurological avoidance” as behavioral feedback – rather than symptomatic of individual pathology – allows educators to intervene earlier and more effectively.

When educators notice a student consistently skipping neurology rounds, that's data. It signals that teaching has failed to create psychological safety and adequate scaffolding. Early identification enables support before avoidance becomes chronic and calcified. This shift also changes how we think about faculty development and teaching excellence.

8. *Conclusion*

Words carry consequences that extend far beyond academic debate. The shift from “neurophobia” to “neuroanxiety” and “neurological avoidance” isn't semantic pedantry or linguistic hair-splitting; it represents genuine progress in how we think about medical education and student development.

We're moving away from pathologizing student emotion toward examining systemic failures and institutional practices. “Neurophobia” belongs in the history of medical education terminology, a relic of an era when we blamed learners for institutional shortcomings. Going forward, “neuroanxiety” better captures the affective dimension of struggle, while “neurological avoidance” describes the behavioral manifestation of inadequate teaching.

For linguistics and philology, this case demonstrates how suffixes function as instruments of power within professional institutions, shaping

discourse and determining accountability. Precision in terminology isn't a luxury – it's an ethical imperative that directly shapes how we understand, address, and ultimately resolve the genuine challenges our students face.

REFERENCES

- GAMA, R. F., Nascimento, C. L.; PUPE, C. C. B. (2025). From neurophobia to neuroanxiety: Between metaphor, semantics, and pedagogy. *Neurology Education*, 4(4), e200271.
- Harper, D. (n.d.). *Etymology of neuro-*. Online Etymology Dictionary. Retrieved May 13, 2026, from <https://www.etymonline.com/word/neuro->.
- _____. *Etymology of -phobia*. Online Etymology Dictionary. Retrieved May 13, 2026, from <https://www.etymonline.com/word/-phobia>.
- HERNANDO-REQUEJO, V. (2020). Neurophobia: Why, how much, consequences and... *MedEdPublish*, 9(3).
- Jozefowicz, R. F. (1994). Neurophobia: The fear of neurology among medical students. *Archives of Neurology*, 51(4), 328-29.
- LAKOFF, G.; JOHNSON, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by*. University of Chicago Press.
- MORENO-ZAMBRANO, D.; SANDRONE, S.; MEZA-VENEGAS, J. JIMENEZ, J. FREIRE-BONIFACINI, A.; SANTIBANEZ-VASQUEZ, R. *et al.* (2021). *Exploring the key factors behind neurophobia: A systematic review of the English, Spanish and Portuguese literature*. *Brain Disorders*, 2, 100011.
- POKRYSZKO-DRAGAN, A.; MOTTERSHEAD, J.; AITKEN, G. (2019). Attitudes towards neurology among medical undergraduates. *Polish Journal of Neurology and Neurosurgery*, 53(1), 61–73.
- TAN, Y.; LIN, S. Z. Z.; TAN, Z. (2025). From neurophobia to neuroanxiety: An opportune review of its definition and impact on neurology educators and learners. *Neurology Education*, 4(3), e200247.
- WITTGENSTEIN, L. (1953). Philosophical investigations. *Blackwell Publishing*.
- YOUNG, J. Q.; VAN MERRIËNBOER, J.; DURNING, S.; TEN CATE, O. (2014). Cognitive load theory: Implications for medical education: AMEE Guide No. 86. *Medical Teacher*, 36(5), 371-84.